2012-203 E 248769

LETTER TO THE APPELLATE COURT CLERK

January 25, 2014

The Honorable Daniel E. Shearouse Clerk, Supreme Court of South Carolina Post Office Box 11330 Columbia, South Carolina 29211

Ré: SC Energy Users v. SCE&G et al. Appellate Case No. 2013-000529.

Dear Mr. Shearouse:

Enclosed please find for filing:

MEMORANDUM and SUGGESTED NOTICES about RETURN ("Return") of RESPONDENT SOUTH CAROLINA ELECTRIC & GAS COMPANY to [WOJCICKI'S] PETITION TO BECOME an ACTIVE PARTY

Please note that I alone factually represent over 2 million SC electric energy users and perspective voters in 2014 Elections but who could not be respected as Protestants.

With kind regards,

Yours faithfully.

Joseph/Wojcicki/

820 East Steele Road

West Columbia, SC 29170-1125 :

Cc; Listed below persons will receive a copy of above Memo at their work address given to PSC via USPS first class mail.

Scott A. Elliott	Jeffrey M. Nelson	Florence P. Belser
Jocelyn Danette Boyd	Shannon Bowyer Hudson	Nanette Solveig Edwards
Belton Townsend Zeigler	K. Chad Burgess	James B. Richardson
Robert Guild	Matthew William Gissendanner	Ms. Pamela Greeniaw.

THE SUPREME COURT of SOUTH CAROLINA

APPEAL FROM THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF SOUTH CAROLINA.

South Carolina Energy Users Committee, Appellant/Respondent,

V.

South Carolina Electric and Gas, South Carolina Office of Regulatory Staff and Pamela Greenlaw, Respondents, and Sierra Club is Respondent/Appellant.

Appellate Case No. 2013-000529

MEMORANDUM and SUGGESTED NOTICES about

RETURN ("Return") of RESPONDENT SOUTH CAROLINA ELECTRIC & GAS COMPANY to [WOJCICKI'S] PETITION TO BECOME an ACTIVE PARTY

- 1. The name of the author of the above Return, Mr. James Richardson, does not appear in first PSC docket No. 2008-196-E as well in the 2012-203-E (with the order here appealed) and 2013-150-E (PSC denial on BLRA ground Exhibit W-07).
- 2. He is a new on the SC Supreme Court list as a 11th attorney; I have not seen him acting in any SCE&G actions against myself or at the hearings in PSC.
- 3. His arguments have nothing to do with serious False Claim of BLRA being revealed after cited by him Order, just here. They may indicate the still existing SCANA/SCE&G legal team's tendency to keep hidden above FCA of BLRA. It is likely that some lessons from the Enron scandal caused him to be hired for his expertise, *inter alia*, as a criminal defense lawyer.
- 4. Blocking the truth of False Claim (FCA of BLRA) may mislead honorable judges and create another error.
- 5. The famous name (Mr. Richardson) and his good knowledge in courtrooms do not create enough "legal water from the Broad River" to cool four reactors each year in the 60 years of their life expectance. And, to fulfill BLRA Definition of Base Load Plants.

- 6. For SCANA / SCE&G postponing corrective action of FCA of BLRA will create more financial harms. Their Good Management shall stop irresponsible legal team behavior. It is also my interest as a SCANA shareholder.
- 7. Ratepayers [victims] may easily see this Return as a NO CONTEST pleading or/ and OBSTRUCTION of JUSTICE according to the Definitions.
- 8. My, Wojcicki's Petition was written as the result of SC Attorney General directive and was entered before six / or ten year FCA limitation. Mr. Richardson's "feelings" must not remove [deny] the true fact of FCA of BLRA.

Respectfully submitted,

Joseph Wojcicki

820 East Steele Road

West Columbia, SC 29170-1125 January 25, 2014